## **BOOK REVIEWS**

MODERN MAN AND THE LIBERAL ARTS.

By Francis Nelson New York Robert
Scholkenbach Poundation, 1947, 359 pp.
Indexed, Cloth, \$3.50

In this companion piece to his earlier work, The Roots of Our Learning, Francis Neilson challenges the opinions of such men as John Dewey, James B. Conant and Annold J. Tornbee. The result is a group of critical essays written with clarity, simplicity and enthusiasm.

Throughout his long life, Mr. Neilson has watched and taken part in educational, political and economic movements. Thus, in his essays on education he speaks as one who has seen at close sange the experiments of modern educators and is able to weigh in the scales of achievement the results so far attained by the so-called progressives. Mr. Neilson is strongly of the opinion that we are losing out in the educational field by present-day methods. He is firmly convinced that to be truly educated, a man or woman must be steeped in the wisdom of the past, must have studied the successes and failures of bygone civilizations, and must really know what he is talking about when it comes to such important matters as, for instance, human liberty and economic justice. He finds little to comfort him in the current scene. "There is an illiteracy of the educated today." he says. "that is far more dangerous than the illiteracy of the illiterate."

In sharp contrast to this century's crop of miseducated youngsters, hopping off the collogiate assembly line every twelve months, Mr. Neilson cites Menry George whose Alma Mater was no vine-clad hall but the crowded forecastle of a four-masted Indiaman and the inksplashed office of a printer's shop. Yet, in his later years, Mr. Neilson points out, this selftaught scholar wrote a book which reveals "not only a tenacity of purpose, but a thoroughness of seview which covers all the known works of the chief economists . . during the eighteenth and ninetrenth centuries. Moreover, Pragress and Poterty shows a familiarity with studies that lie on the fringe of the science of political economy. There are innumerable references to authors who are not mentioned by writers on economic subjects, even so late as John Stuart Mill." And Mr. Nelison concludes: "To a man like Henry George, the pussuit of knowledge meant toiling to the heart of the subject along the rough read of thorny problems; the best way in the and for a man to equip himself

with the thought of his worthy predecessors."

In a two part essay entitled, "The Conspiracy Against the English Peasantry." the author traces in short compass the war of the nobles against the tillers of the soil. For seven bundred years at least, through forced enclosure of the land, the villages were depopulated and the people driven into the towns. "It was a great misconception," it is stated, "to treat the so-called Industrial Revolution as a cause of the impoverishment of the people. There was evidence enough to be found in the first records of the Fabian Society to convince any earnest student that ... the migration of the country men to the towns resulted in a superabundant labor market, with the result that wages fell as prices of commodities rose." The true story of this dack period in English history has been ignored by the chief historians, but here it is trid.

in a way to make its sober lesson clear.

The author's own career in British politics gives him the source material for his discussion of Liberalism and Budicalism. In 'The Decay of Liberalism' he traces the historical background and scope of the inswement in England from the time of its inception, through the days of its greatest influence, to its decline and death at the beginning of World War I. He also examines Dr. Conant's stand on American cadicalism and the possibility of its revival.

Mr. Neilson deplotes the loss of vicility in our present day political movements and the absence of vigorous protest against the wrong-doings of those in power. In his essay, "The Silence of the Opposition," he says, "We have no Swift to make a frontal attack upon the bastious of political iniquity... no Hazlit to shake the very foundations of an Edmund Burker no Junius to reveal the sordid methods of statesmen and their toadies. The opposition is silent."

Against a broad cultural background the

Against a broad cultural background the theme of these essays is brought to a dramatic climax in the two coaclading pieces and the author's discussion of the various philosophies of historical interpretation. Here he compares and coairasts Spengler and Toynhoe, Freedman, and Brooks Adams, Vico and Burckhardt in a manner which leaves no reader confused as to his purpose and must strengly impress every thoughtful person with the imponunce of knowing how to amply the knowledge of the past to the conditions of the present day.

Mr. Neilson is also the author of The Bleventh Commandances, In Quest of Justice, The Roots of Our Learning, New volumes). The Roots of Our Learning, New at the Crosswords and many other works. Now in his eighty-list year, he is an indefatigable writer, and his articles are constantly being published in such distinguished magazines as The American Journal of Economics and Sociology, Indeed, it was in this fournal that many of these assay, first appeared, and the outhusiastic reception which they reviewed there encouraged the author in the compilation of the present book.—V. G. Peterson

ON UNDERSTANDING SCIENCE. By James B. Conaut. Vala University Press. New-Haven, 1947, 145 pp. 82,00.

The introduction to Dr. Conant's book inspires confidence in the map and respect for the philosopher. Finingly in the preface he has sought to appraise and set in proper perspective the atom bomb. The scientists, he relates, had hoped that their researches would develop atomic energy for power and demonstrate the impossibility of an atomic explosive. But, since the discovery of the bomb was in a sense inevitable in a scientific age, he is consoled with the thought that the democracies were formnate in the timing. Noting the many beneficent discoveries of science he invokes the wisdom so abundant in one of the greatest of all philosophical essays, Emerson's Law of Compensation. With every influx of light comes new danger. ... There is a crack in everything God has made. . . But the doctring of compensation is not the doctrine of indifferency.

The main treatise reveals the scholar in action—a stimulating und enlightening teacher. He cises the growing importance of science with the attendant used for clarification of pop-

ular thinking about methods of science that it may be assimilated into general culture. The demonstration of objective, factual analysis based upon controlled experimentation would, he believes, tend to give greater weight to the rational elements as determining factors in a progressive free society. It is not sufficient to be well informed about science. The essential thing is understanding. He prefers the historical rather than the philosophical approach for achieving this end.

WILLIAM S. O'CONNOR, Editor

His demonstration of his theories is an absurbing and fuscinating mory. He combines the technique of the laboratory with the eloquence of the lecture room into a living, documented history of the evolution of scientific method and discovery. At the dawn of the 17th century Acistotle's dogme that nature abbots a vaccuro Acceptate a dogmin that institute accepts of the scientists. When Galileo pondered the reason why water would not fill a vacuum more than 34 fact above the level of the source he sought to extend the vacuum concept by speculating that the column broke of its own weight. His papils, Torricelli and Viviani, equipped with the long used mechanical pump and the known but little considered weight of air concept, abolished the racuum theory by supporting a column of moreury with air pressure. Pascal gave additional swidence of the "apring of air" by showing that the metrus colorun shortened with its eleva-tion above sex level. Von Guericke devised the Magdeburg hemispheres that with air expelled defied the strength of borses to separate them but fell apart when the almosoberic pressure was equalized within and without. Robert Boylewith more claborate contrivances confirmed Terricelli by demonstrating the rise and fall of the mercury column as the air pressure upon it was increased and decreased.

The twitching of a frog's leg in proximity to an electrical machine attracted the entitled interest of Galvani. Volta through controlled experiment revised Galvani's speculative concept and the electric battery was been. Roemgen investigated what others had noted—the forging of photographic plates near an electrical discharge—and by the route of accidental discovery and planned experiment the X-ray was evolved, blow the arbitrarily entreached element, phiogiston, in a long battle of potemics, accidental discoveries and controlled tests, was transmuted into the scientific concept of combustion is dramatically but factually unfolded and chemical science was emancipated from the Aristonican concept of the four elements, earth, sie,

hie and water.

The reader is left with the longing that Dr. Consar will reconsider his original concepta guide for teaching the methods of scienceand expand in his own engensing style the glimpse he has exposed of a magic world into the complete panorama of science. And in the process we wistfully hope that he will again consult the great masterplace of his-sul--favorite philosophus, Emerson's Law of Compensation, and extend his great talent to the field of social science with his mentor's admonations constantly in mind, "Manue: hates monopolies and exceptions. Things refuse to be mismanaged long . . . If you tax too high, the revenue will yield nothing. . . Nothing arbitrary, nothing artificial can endure."

-W. S. C.