

land; we are going to free the land, to make it accessible on the terms of equality to every member of the human family. Therefore we ought to have a name that is intelligible to everybody no matter of what creed or what language. It is not a matter of indifference, nor of slight importance, to give the right name to the right thing. We all feel that the word "Single Tax" fails to convey our true meaning. Of taxes nobody likes to hear. Taxes are a human, very human institution, that will perhaps pass away in a higher state of society. But the word freedom will always express the highest aspirations of the human mind. Can we choose a better name for our movement than one that connects it inseparably to the cause of freedom, justice and equality?

GUSTAVE BUSCHER,

Zurich, Switzerland.

A SWEDISH WORKER VOTES FOR CHANGE OF NAME.

Editor *Single Tax Review* :

I wish to express the hope that the proposition made by Mr. James W. Bucklin to change the name of our movement and name it after its founder will meet general approval, and that the press devoted to the propagation of the cause will work to bring about the change. In this way it might get a common name all over the world. Some derivation of the name of George would probably in any language be found suitable to distinguish the movement and its adherents. In the Scandinavian languages "Georgism" and "Georgist" are words already adopted and recognized. In Denmark George's followers call themselves "Georgists" and the movement the Henry George movement or "Georgism." Here in Sweden they call themselves simply "land-reformers;" but others, who do not agree with George, call themselves so, too. Their opponents know, however, what they are, and speak and write about "Georgism in Sweden," and *promise to put a stop to it!* If the Single Taxers in America and England called themselves Georgists there is no doubt that George's followers in other countries would call themselves so, too.

SVANTE A. BACKSTROM.

Rudboda, Lidington, Sweden.

HOLD TO THE NAME.

Editor of *Single Tax Review* :

I approve of the name *Single Tax*. It is not to be supposed that all men are professors of political economy. When we say *tax* everybody understands what is meant—namely, the yearly amount each one pays in as his share of the public expenses. The first question asked any party—Free Soil, Free Silver, or what not—is, What do you want to do? What improvement do you

advocate? What change do you propose to make? Our answer is, the apportionment of taxes now is unfair. The amount should be determined by a different method of assessment, the result of which would be to change the relative proportion as now paid by different persons. This method would consist in placing land values only on the assessment roll and omitting all other valuations. With a proper definition of land values to include all that are essentially such, as rights and franchises, does any Single Taxer doubt that this one essential change would be sufficient, and that when it was maintained all other reforms would follow as matters of course?

J. H. WELLS.

New York.

A NAME SUGGESTED.

Editor of *Single Tax Review* :

The suggestions as to change of name of Quarterly I have noted in recent issue and desire to offer, for your consideration, the three following, in the order of preference: THE GEORGIAN EARTH-FOR-ALL REVIEW, THE GEORGIAN FREESOIL REVIEW, THE GEORGIAN PHYSIOCRATIC REVIEW. The idea of the first one comes to me from Ernest Crosby's Earth-For-All Calendar and the distinctive word in the others indicates the origin of the suggestion. If it be thought desirable to retain a fiscal suggestion in the name, THE GEORGIAN SINGLE TAX REVIEW might be considered an improvement upon the present title of the quarterly.

The University of Manitoba and the University of North Dakota debated the Single Tax question at Grand Forks last Thursday evening.

EDWARD P. TOTTEN.

Mayville, North Dakota.

THE MOVEMENT SMOULDERS IN WISCONSIN.

Editor of *Single Tax Review* :

The movement here is like a smouldering camp fire in the woods. Sometimes it seems out and then again a little smoke and the plenty of burnable material near by makes us think of the possibility that some time there may be a great conflagration. Here the Social Democrats are the great workers and perhaps because they are workers they are not unfriendly to the Single Tax movement.

JNO. D. DROFFERS, JR.

Milwaukee, Wis.

WANTS A WEEKLY PAPER.

Editor of *Single Tax Review* :

Is it possible that after twenty years of propaganda work there are not enough