

"The State of Mind"

PERHAPS you have heard of our nation's distinctive State. Of all the forty-eight States in the Union the only one is known as "The STATE of Mind." The appellation has been bestowed upon our Commonwealth of Massachusetts because of our intellectuality—our pioneer free schools—our innumerable institutes, universities and colleges—and, consequently, because of our profound thought on all subjects.

Just what is our state of mind?

Today's issue of the intellectual *Boston Traveler* tells us—it quotes the Hon. House Chairman of Ways and Means, Mr. Albert F. Bigelow, who valiantly is paring times and dollars from the State's annual budget in order to keep more taxpayers from "going nuts."

(Quote):

"In the past nine years over \$22,760,000 has been appropriated by the legislature for building construction in the department of mental diseases.

"It is also a fact that Massachusetts has more patients in its mental disease hospitals in proportion to population than any other State in the Union."

In order to preserve our state of mind, the Massachusetts Federation of Taxpayers valiantly works side by side with Representative Bigelow on the same day—on the same dime-dollar paring plan—in the same intellectual *Boston Traveler*. In scrutinizing the State's sundry expense account, the Massachusetts Federation of Taxpayers finds that we taxpayers have recently suffered the following burdens upon the public purse:

\$82.65 to throw a colonial succotash banquet for a Japanese Admiral.

\$161.30 to flip the flap-jacks for National Commander Harlan.

\$282.45 for pork and beans for the Italian Ambassador.

\$287.00 for beans and boloney for the American Legion Commander.

\$584.65 for New England boiled dinners for the Commander of the Veterans of Foreign Wars.

\$2,545.45 to set the dinner table (with finger bowls) for the American Deeper Waterways Convention.

Patently, this riotous feeding at public expense denotes an early economic grave dug by our dental diggers. The Massachusetts Federation of Taxpayers are righteously steamed up.

It is hoped that the danger to foreign diplomacy may be averted. Imagine! A Japanese Admiral rates only eighty-two dollars worth of succotash as against two hundred eighty-two dollars worth of pork and beans for an Italian Ambassador!

Messrs. Moneypenny and Groundrent, prominent Back Bay landlords, when apprised of the shocking truth—truth found in the official, authentic records at the State House—judiciously and immediately advanced land-lease

rents as they fainted—tearfully and tragically—in each others arms.

The inmates of the Mental Diseases Hospitals, upon being informed of the emasculatory exposé, tapped their own heads, significantly, and nodded and nodded, one to another.—THOMAS N. ASHTON.

Step-by-Step to Nowhere

Look at a plot of land, entirely untaxed but leased for an indefinite term, for \$50 a year.

Same plot and terms.

1. It would be priced at whatever sum would bring the landlord the current rate of interest, say at 5%, that would be \$1,000, accordingly Selling Price.....\$1,000

1. 4% tax on \$1,000 (is \$40) leaves landlord out of \$50 land rent. Selling Price will accordingly be only.....\$200

2. If we tax that price of \$1,000 at 3% (that is \$30) the plot would net the landlord only \$20, and the Selling Price would be "what would bring the landlord the current rate of interest," viz.....\$400

2. 4% tax on \$200 (is \$8) leaves landlord \$42. Selling Price will accordingly be.....\$840

If, next year we tax that Selling Price of \$400 3% (that is \$12) the plot will net the landlord \$38 so the Selling Price would be.....\$760

4% tax on \$840 (is \$33.60) leaves landlord \$16.40. Selling Price will accordingly be.....\$328

If next year we tax that Selling Price of \$760 3% (that is \$22.80) the plot would net the holder \$27.20, so that the Selling Price would be.....\$544

4. 4% tax on \$328 (is \$13.12) leaves landlord \$36.88. Selling Price will accordingly be.....\$737.60

5. If the fourth year we tax that Selling Price of \$544 at 3% (that is \$16.32) the plot would net the landlord \$33.68, accordingly Selling Price would.....\$673.60

5. 5% tax on \$737.60 (is \$36.88) leaves landlord \$13.12. Selling Price will accordingly be.....\$262.40

6. 5% tax on \$262.40 (is \$13.12) leaves landlord \$36.88. Selling Price will accordingly be.....\$736

The amounts in brackets, in bold face type are those that the Tax Collector might get under a plan of taxing land capital values.

BOLTON HALL.

Is this partial Single Tax or not? Mr. Hall is endeavoring to meet the contention that the only way we will get the Single Tax is "step by step." We refrain from comment and ask our readers to work out a better plan if there is one.

We have every confidence that a solution will be found by assessors when education has arrived at a point where there is a social consciousness that land values belong to the community. But to arrive at something like agreement we invite contributions, not to exceed five hundred words, as to precisely how we should proceed.

Editor LAND AND FREEDOM.