reform is that the division of function proposed would lessen the risk of the Land Commission using its powers to promote more development by public authorities and an uneconomic use of land. Mr. Pennance, is seems, is more concerned about the Land Commission favouring public developers and local authorities than the regressive effects of the Betterment Levy. He argues finally that the Betterment Levy could perhaps be replaced by a system of auctioning planning permissions to the highest bidder. The purchaser of the permission would then negotiate with the site owner to effect the development, having paid a form of Betterment Levy in advance!

Mr. Pennance has certainly stretched his ingenuity to the limit. Viewing property ownership rights and land development rights as two variable and saleable commodities, he claims that his scheme would achieve the recovery of betterment "through the thousands of individual decisions taken by persons intent on maximising their own net gain." Although there would seem to be little prospect of such a scheme being introduced in the immediate future, a system of this sort could be made to work, although it would probably be very unwieldy in

A New Look at Free Trade

ROY DOUGLAS

SUPPOSE that you were engaged in discussion with a young, intelligent friend on matters which bore on free trade, and he asked you for some reading matter. What would you recommend to him? I suppose that you would mention Henry George's Protection or Free Trade? as the best and most lucid exposition extant. But you would have one or two reservations. You would feel that George's examples necessarily referred to the nine-teenth century, and that he had not met some arguments which perturb modern critics—like balance-of-payments, currency difficulties and all that—for the very simple reason that these problems did not exist in George's day.

A magnificent new book by two American professors of economics* fulfils the need for a really modern work on the subject better than anything I have read. Offhand, I cannot recall one single serious argument that I have heard against free trade which is not fairly and squarely met—and met in an idiom that satisfies at once the exacting demands of the professional economist and the needs of the layman who is simply put off by jargon.

The authors do not press their points to those limits of fanaticism to which, alas, some free traders are all too prone. Small concessions are made here and there to the protectionists; arguments which they advance are not treated as universally stupid or dishonest but are admitted to possess some limited force. But these arguments are counterpoised with other much stronger and more general considerations which clearly establish the over-

operation.

Unfortunately, in trying to reconcile his feelings of social justice and his admiration of the free market within the present climate of thought in both political parties, the author lost sight of the real problem and the only reasonable solution. The problem arises out of the legal sanction that enables an owner of land to capitalise its rental value. The monopoly position of the land owner which has prevailed since freeholds were introduced, is to-day enhanced by planning control.

The planning system can be justifiably blamed for many ills. The system of freehold land tenure, however, is an older and more vicious institution. Its economic consequences touch upon every aspect of human production. The so-called betterment problem will never be solved until the economic rent of land is appropriated at source. The possession of land would not be impaired but the most efficient use of land would be encouraged. Land use planning would be facilitated, the price of land would be reduced, and the need for a Land Commission would disappear overnight.

What more could Mr. Pennance want?

whelming balance in the tree trader's favour.

The authors are particularly impressive when they examine the underlying ideas of the protectionist case and are able to show how the real strength of protectionism resides in the popular appeal of arguments that are completely superficial but satisfy the prejudices and fears of those who are unwilling or unable to study the matter more deeply.

Inevitably, the book suffers from one defect for the British reader, in that the arguments and example have a strong American flavour. Thus we read much of the activities of American individuals and bodies that are largely unknown to the British reader. Again, the exigencies of the American economic position are different from ours. In the very first sentence the authors say that they do not consider the free trade versus protection issue to be "of dominant importance in its own right." That is true for America, but scarcely for Britain. The U.S.A. could be self sufficient, or very nearly so, while Britain has nearly twice as many people as she can feed from her own resources. Hence international trade is, in the etymological sense, vital to Britain—while it is of less over-riding importance to America.

One day, I hope, someone will take this book and write a "British edition," with British examples, and with certain variations of emphasis aimed at the special problems of these islands. When that edition comes out, I think that the British free trader will have just about the perfect weapon for his armoury. Until that time, Yaeger and Tuerck in its present form stands as a great modern classic of perceptive, lucid and thoroughly honest apologetics.

If the reader is a free trader already, he will be a far more effective one when he has soaked up the arguments in this book. If he happens not to be a free trader at the moment, he probably will be by the time he has finished it.

^{*}Trade Policy and the Price System by Leland B. Yaeger and David G. Tuerck. International Textbook Co., 25s. 0d.